

Vicki M. Smith, OSB No. 015110  
Email: smith@bodyfeltmount.com  
BODYFELT MOUNT LLP  
319 SW Washington St., Suite 1200  
Portland, Oregon 97204  
Telephone: (503) 243-1022  
Facsimile: (503) 243-2019

Attorneys for Defendants Washington County and Pat Garrett

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

(Portland Division)

RUSSELL PITKIN and MARY PITKIN, Co-  
Personal Representatives of the Estate of  
MADALINE PITKIN, Deceased,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CORIZON HEALTH, INC., a Delaware  
Corporation; CORIZON HEALTH, INC., a  
Tennessee Corporation; WASHINGTON  
COUNTY, a government body in the State  
of Oregon; JOSEPH MCCARTHY, MD, an  
individual; COLIN STORZ, an individual;  
LESLIE ONEIL, an individual; CJ  
BUCHANAN, an individual; LOUISA DURU,  
an individual; MOLLY JOHNSON, an  
individual; COURTNEY NYMAN, an  
individual; PAT GARRETT, in his capacity  
as Sheriff for Washington County; JOHN  
DOES 1-10; and, JANE DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:16-cv-02235-AA

**DEFENDANTS WASHINGTON  
COUNTY'S AND PAT GARRETT'S  
FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Demand for Jury Trial

For ANSWER to allegations set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants  
Washington County and Pat Garrett (collectively "County Defendants") ADMIT, DENY and  
ALLEGE as follows:

///

1.

The “Introduction” at paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs’ Complaint appears to be an overview of allegations set forth with greater specificity in the body of the Complaint. As such, County Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in paragraph 1, but instead defer to the admissions and denials contained with greater specificity in the body of their Answer.

2.

County Defendants admit the jurisdiction and venue allegations contained at paragraph 2.

3.

County Defendants admit that at the time of her death, Madaline Pitkin was a detainee in the Washington County Jail. County Defendants are without knowledge of the remaining allegations contained at paragraph 3, and therefore, deny the same.

4.

County Defendants admit that Corizon Health, Inc. (“Corizon”) is a Delaware corporation in the business of providing medical services in jails and prisons, and, at all time relevant herein, in the Washington County Jail. Except as expressly admitted herein, County Defendants deny each and every allegation in paragraph 4 in Plaintiffs’ Complaint.

5.

County Defendants admit allegations contained at paragraph 5 in Plaintiffs’ Complaint.

///

///

6.

County Defendants admit that Defendant McCarthy was a licensed physician and the site medical director for Corizon at the Washington County Jail in April 2014. County Defendants further admit that McCarthy's last day as an employee of Corizon was April 23, 2014. County Defendants further admit McCarthy is a citizen and resident of the State of Oregon and was, at the time of his employment with Corizon, at the Washington County Jail pursuant to the contract between Corizon and Washington County. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

7.

County Defendants admit that O'Neil is a registered nurse and in April 2014 was the Director of Nursing for Corizon at the Washington County Jail, responsible for Corizon mandated procedures per the contract between Corizon and Washington County. She is a resident of the State of Oregon. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

8.

County Defendants admit that Storz was a physician assistant employed by Corizon at the Washington County Jail in April 2014 and worked under the supervision of McCarthy. While employed by Corizon at the Washington County Jail, Storz was a citizen in the State of Oregon. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 8 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

///

///

9.

With respect to those allegations contained at paragraphs 9, 10, 11 and 12 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, County Defendants admit that each individual was a health care professional employed by Corizon, was a resident of the State of Oregon, and was working at the Washington County Jail pursuant to Corizon's contract with the County. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraphs 9, 10, 11 and 12 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

10.

County Defendants admit the allegations contained at paragraphs 13 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

11.

County Defendants have no information concerning any allegations contained at paragraph 14 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore, deny the same.

12.

County Defendants admit those allegations contained at paragraphs 15 and 17 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

13.

County Defendants admit that Corizon and its predecessor corporation provided healthcare services to the inmates at Washington County Jail pursuant to contractual provisions that were entered into with Washington County, which contracts were very specific as to what was expected of both parties, and provided a full range of services to inmates, including detoxification for drug addicts and certain off-site medical services. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

14.

County Defendants admit that on April 17, 2014, Madaline Pitkin was booked into the Washington County Jail, at which time she notified medical personnel that she last used heroin at about 7 pm the evening before. Needle marks on Pitkin's arm were noted and she was ordered to undergo a Clinical Opiate Withdrawal Scale (COWS) evaluation, and was assigned to a general population pod. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 18 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

15.

County Defendants admit that on April 18, 2014, Duru performed a COWS evaluation and ordered certain medications, consisting of Hydroxyzine, Acetaminophen and Promethazine, which order was approved by Storz. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

16.

County Defendants admit that Washington County initiated an investigation into the death of Madaline Pitkin and that various Defendants and other personnel spoke to investigators. The substance and contents of those investigations speak for themselves, and therefore County Defendants neither admit nor deny those allegations in Plaintiffs' Complaint that assert what investigators learned or may have been told.

17.

Washington County has at its jail various video surveillance cameras in place to observe inmate population. Plaintiffs' Complaint makes numerous references to what certain surveillance videos show or do not show. The contents of the video are self-

evident and need no interpretation, and therefore, County Defendants neither admit nor deny those allegations asserting what the videos show or do not show throughout the allegations in their Complaint.

18.

County Defendants admit that Madaline Pitkin completed a Health Care Request form on April 19, 2014, which form is self-explanatory. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 21 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

19.

County Defendants admit that Pitkin submitted a Health Care Request form on April 20, 2014, which form is self-explanatory. Video reference at paragraph 22 in Plaintiffs' Complaint speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 22 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

20.

County Defendants admit that video surveillance exists, but deny the characterization of such video as alleged in paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Complaint. County Defendants also admit that a jail deputy called for medical staff to examine Madaline Pitkin. County Defendants also admit that Nyman performed the COWS evaluation, which evaluation is dated 4/20/14. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

21.

County Defendants admit that on April 21, 2014, Pitkin submitted a Health Care Request form, which form is self-explanatory. Except as expressly admitted therein

County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 24 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

22.

County Defendants admit that video on April 22, 2014 exists, which video is self-explanatory and is neither admitted nor denied herein. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 25 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

23.

County Defendants admit that on April 23, 2014, Pitkin completed a Health Care Request form, the contents of which are self-explanatory. County Defendants further admit that Pitkin was observed, that her vital signs were taken and that she was authorized to be admitted to the medical observation unit. Her medications were checked and a pitcher of Gatorade was provided to Pitkin. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 26 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

24.

County Defendants admit that Deputy Thompson sent Corizon medical personnel on April 24, 2014 to check Pitkin. They found Pitkin lying on the floor of her cell, and after resuscitation efforts were invoked, they were unable to revive her. Except as expressly admitted therein County Defendants deny those allegations contained in paragraph 27 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

///

///

///

25.

County Defendants admit those allegations contained in paragraph 28 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

26.

With respect to those allegations set forth at paragraph 29 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, County Defendants incorporate their responses to paragraphs 1-28 of Plaintiffs' Complaint as fully set forth herein.

27.

The allegations in paragraphs 30 through 31 are not directed at County Defendants and require no response.

28.

With respect to those allegations set forth at paragraph 36 in Plaintiffs' Complaint, County Defendants incorporate their previous submissions and denials as set forth herein for paragraphs 1 through 35.

29.

Defendant Washington County denies those allegations set forth at paragraph 37 through 41 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

30.

Defendant Washington County admits that it contracted with Defendant Corizon for the provision of health care for inmates of Washington County Jail, but it denies the remainder of those allegations set forth at paragraph 42 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

31.

Defendant Washington County denies those allegations set forth at paragraph 43 through 45 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.



32.

With respect to those allegations set forth at paragraph 46 in Plaintiffs' Complaint, County Defendants incorporate their previous submissions and denials as set forth herein.

33.

County Defendants deny those allegations set forth at paragraphs 47 through 59 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

34.

The allegations in paragraphs 60 through 63 of Plaintiffs' Complaint are not directed at County Defendants and require no response.

35.

County Defendants deny the remaining allegations in Plaintiffs' Complaint.

### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

#### **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

36.

Plaintiffs failed to state a claim for which relief may be granted against County Defendants.

#### **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

37.

Plaintiffs' state law claim against Defendant Washington County is subject to the conditions, damages limitations and immunities set forth in Oregon's Tort Claims Act, ORS 30.260 et al.

///

///

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

38.

Plaintiffs are not entitled to non-economic damages in their state law claim against Defendant Washington County, per ORS 30.650.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

39.

County Defendants reserve their rights to assert further and additional affirmative defenses as additional discovery and circumstances require or permit during the course of this litigation.

WHEREFORE, having fully ANSWERED Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants Washington County and Pat Garrett pray for a complete dismissal of those allegations and claims set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint, along with their reasonable costs and expenses herein.

Respectfully submitted:

**BODYFELT MOUNT LLP**

BY:   
Vicki M. Smith, OSB No. 015110  
Email: smith@bodyfeltmount.com  
Phone: (503) 243-1022  
Fax: (503) 243-2019

Trial Attorney: Vicki M. Smith, OSB No. 015110

Attorneys for Defendants Washington County and  
Pat Garrett

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served a true copy of the foregoing **DEFENDANTS WASHINGTON COUNTY'S AND SHERIFF PAT GARRETT'S AMENDED ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES** on the following attorneys on the date noted below via the following method:

Timothy J. Jones  
Jones Ammann LLC  
888 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 1100  
Portland, OR 97204  
Fax: (971) 925-9034  
Email: tim@ja-law.com  
*Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Richard K. Hansen  
Anne Talcott  
Nathan D. Sramek  
Schwabe Williamson & Wyatt PC  
1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900  
Portland, OR 97204  
Fax: (503) 796-2900  
Email: rhansen@schwabe.com  
atalcott@schwabe.com  
nsramek@schwabe.com  
*Of Attorneys for Defendants Corizon Health, Inc., Joseph McCarthy, MD, Colin Storz, Leslie O'Neil, CJ Buchanan, Louisa Duru, Molly Johnson, and Courtney Nyman*

Method: ☐ US Mail, postage prepaid  
☐ Facsimile  
☒ CM/ECF Electronic Service

Dated this 17<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2017.

BY:   
Vicki M. Smith, OSB No. 015110  
Email: smith@bodyfeltmount.com  
Phone: (503) 243-1022  
Fax: (503) 243-2019

Attorneys for Defendants Washington County and  
Pat Garrett